

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,743	02/05/2004	Yoshinori Endo	566.43491X00	5342
20457 7590 04/18/2007 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAM	MINER
			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, CUONG H
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON,	V A 22209-3873		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AREINGTON,	VIL 22207-3013	1	3661	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	RY MODE
3 MON	NTHS	04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/771,743	ENDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .				
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/25/6	07 (the IDS).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10,11 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the &drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is the answer to the IDS received on 1/25/2007.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

Drawings

 24 sheets of formal drawings are accepted by the examiner for examining purposes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, as being unclear for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 20: A traffic information display method for a navigation device, wherein the navigation device includes a storage device which stores map data including link data of respective links constituting roads on a map, and statistical data representative of that degree of jam every time zone in the respective links which is determined from statistical values of traffic information collected in the past, said statistical data being classified every condition of collection of the traffic information, the traffic information display method comprises:

- a. setting step which sets conditions of collection (e.g., every electronic component has been well known to follow a rule of operation),
- b. reading out (e.g., merely searching/matching operations, a very well known operation) step which reads out from the storage device statistical data corresponding to the conditions of collection, out of the statistical data of the respective links included in the map data displayed on a display device, and

c. degree of jam displaying step which <u>displays a degree of jam every time</u> zone of the respective links specified by the read statistical data overlappingly on the map displayed on the display device.

The examiner's position is a limitation on a claim can broadly be thought of then as its ability to make a meaningful contribution to the definition of the invention in a claim. In other words, language that is not functionally interrelated with the useful acts, structure, or properties of the claimed invention will not serve as a limitation (i.e., displaying). See In re Gulack, 217 USPQ 401 (CAFC 1983), Ex parte Carver, 227 USPQ 465 (BdPatApp&Int 1985) and In re Lowry, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (CAFC 1994) where language provided certain limitations because of specific relationships required by the claims. Thus, claim 20 only requires that a step of 20.c (i.e., "degree of jam displaying step") be performed to meet claim 20's limitation(s). The claimed method is unclear for containing that only one meaningful step

Election/Restrictions

- 5. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-19 are directed to <u>a route searching method</u>, classified in US class 701, subclass 209.
- II. Claim 20 is directed to a display method on a screen, classified in US class 340, subclass 995.19, 988.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as using navigation information; however; it is clear that there are 2 different inventions in claims 1-19, and 20; the examiner's position is: - a process that differs from the prior art (for claimed displaying subject matter) only with

respect to nonfunctional descriptive material (e.g., different kink of data/information) that cannot alter how the process steps are to be performed to achieve the utility of the invention - i.e., merely displaying "overlapping" data, wherein those data are not necessary about traffic information as in claims 1-19); further, US classifications already define these above 2 groups into different inventions.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverses on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6759 (email address: cuong.nguyen@uspto.gov). The examiner can normally be

S.N. 10/771,743 Art Unit 3661

reached on 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Please provide support, with page and line numbers, for any amended or new claim in an effort to help advance prosecution; otherwise any new claim language that is introduced in an amended or new claim may be considered as new matter, especially if the Application is a Jumbo Application.

CUONG J. NGW Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661